JOINT STATUS REPORT

Document 105

#:1658

Filed 07/14/25

Page 1 of 3 Page ID

Case 2:24-cv-09720-JGB-DTB

550 South Hope Street, Suite 2000 Los Angeles, California 90071-2627

Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP

Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP

2 3

1

5 6

4

7 8

9

10 11

12

14

16

17

18 19

20

22

21

23 24

25 26

27

28

Plaintiff BlueTriton Brands, Inc. (BlueTriton), Defendants United States Forest Service, et al. (USFS), and Plaintiff-Intervenor Yuhaaviatam of San Manuel Nation, a federally recognized Indian tribe, also federally recognized as the San Manuel Band of Mission Indians (Nation), submit the following joint status report:

BlueTriton filed this lawsuit to seek judicial review of the USFS's denial of BlueTriton's application for a renewal of a special use permit that grants BlueTriton a right-of-way for a pipeline and associated infrastructure for the transport of water from Arrowhead Springs in Strawberry Canyon in the San Bernardino National Forest. Simultaneous with this lawsuit, BlueTriton moved for a preliminary injunction seeking to enjoin the USFS's permit denial. Thereafter, the Nation moved to intervene to protect its interests in the continued delivery of water from Strawberry Canyon by BlueTriton, and separately moved to enjoin the cessation of water deliveries to the Nation and the dismantling of BlueTriton's infrastructure. The Court held a hearing on BlueTriton's motion for preliminary injunction on January 13, 2025. In a Minute Order the same day, the Court granted BlueTriton's motion for preliminary injunction and stayed the case "until there is a final judgment on the merits in [ongoing related proceedings in Fresno Superior Court]." See ECF No. 90 (filed Jan. 13, 2025). The Court also granted the Nation's Motion to Intervene, and denied its separate injunction motion as moot. The Court requested the parties provide a written status report to the Court every sixty days.

On March 12, 2025, the Fresno County Superior Court conducted a hearing in BlueTriton Brands, Inc. v. Cal. Water Resources Control Board et al., 23CECG04292 (Fresno Super. Ct. Feb. 7, 2024), on (i) BlueTriton's motion for judgment on its first cause of action, which concerns the State Water Board's permitting jurisdiction over BlueTriton's water sources, and (ii) the State Water Board's motion for judgment on BlueTriton's first and second causes of action, which concerns the substantiality of the evidence supporting the State Water Board's order. On May 5, 2025, the court issued a written decision granting BlueTriton's motion for judgment, finding the State Water

JOINT STATUS REPORT

Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP